

AARSI

A Peer Reviewed Multidisciplinary
Annual Journal



Published by
**SLU Arts and H. & P. Thakore
Commerce College for Women**
An Endeavour of
Gujarat Stree Kelavani Mandal



Theme

**National Education Policy 2020 –
Achievements, Challenges & Future Directions**

**Vol. 4
Issue. 4
Year
2026**



ISSN – 2231 : 2897



GSKM - SLU Campus, Ahmedabad.

AARSI - A peer reviewed multidisciplinary annual journal

Issued Date: 15 th June, 2026	Vol. 04	Issue. 04	ISSN: 2331-2897
--	---------	-----------	-----------------

INDEX

Sr. No.	Paper No.	Paper Title	Authors Name	Page No.
1	W01	AI in Teaching, Learning and Research	Dr. Alpesh R. Prajapati	01-05
2	W02 & W03	From Policy to Practice: A Multidimensional Analysis of Implementation Challenges in India's National Education Policy 2020	Dr. Deepa C. Chandwani Dr. Pragnesh B. Dalwadi	06-11
3	W04	Role of NEP-2020 in Transforming Higher Education in India	Dr. Hardik Mehta	12-16
4	W06	NEP 2020: A Transformative Stage Toward Equity and Inclusion in Education	Dr. Manish M. Chudasama	17-22
5	W07 & W16	NEP 2020 and Its Implementation: Examining Challenges in the Indian Education Sector	Dr. Gargi P Patel Dr. Nirali Ketan Shah	23-28
6	W09	National Education Policy to Transform the Education System of 21st Century: Prospects and its Implementation	Dr. Shilpa Jayantilal Patel	29-34
7	W11	Assessing NEP-2020 Implementation in Higher Education: A Comparative Study of Faculty and Student Perspectives	Dr. Vrundani K. Patel	35-43
8	W14	Reimagining Education: A Sociological Analysis of NEP 2020's Challenges and Future Outcomes	Mr. Jitendra Dhebariya	44-50
9	W20	Bridging The Digital Divide in Higher Education: Opportunities and Challenges of Technology Integration under National Education Policy 2020	Dr. Avani Lalitkumar Saraiya	51-58
10	W24	Digital Education under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020: Challenges and Opportunities for Indian Higher Education	Dr. Jigarkumar Joshi	59-64
11	W25& W26	Digital Education and Technology Integration under NEP 2020	Dr. Ketan J Mehta Dr. Ravjibhai Kotval	65-69
12	W27	Teacher Capacity Building: Evaluating the Effectiveness of AI-Powered NotebookLM in Classroom Pedagogy	Dr. Krishna M. Lakhani	70-74
13	W28	Digital Education and Technology Integration in Higher Education: Students' Perspectives in the Context of NEP 2020	Dr. Rohan Shah	75-81
14	W29	Digital India and Educational Transformation: A Comprehensive Statistical Analysis using Multiple Regression Approach	Dr. Sanjay G Raval	82-87
15	W30& W31	Transforming India's Workforce: A Critical Study of Skill Development Initiatives under the NEP 2020	Dr. Vishal Gupta Dr. Ghanshyambhai M. Anjara	88-94

16	W32	Implementation of National Education Policy 2020 in Higher Education: Opportunities and Constraints	Mr. Bhaveshkumar R. Rathod	95-100
17	W35& P72	Digital Accounting Practices in MSMEs: An Empirical Study of Awareness and Usage Behaviour	Ms. Shivangi N. Patel Dr. Amina Nakhuda	101-104
18	W37	Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) in Higher Education: Revitalizing Cultural Roots and Enhancing Learning Outcomes	Dr. Ajitha Shambhu Nair	105-111
19	W40	Indian Knowledge System in New Education Policy	Dr. Rupalben Ashokkumar	112-115
20	W41& W42	Towards Holistic Learning: The Vision of NEP 2020 (An Empirical Analytical Study Based on Primary Data)	Dr. Shital Rathod Dr. Kandarp Chavda	116-120
21	W43	Integrating Ayurveda into Leadership: A Dosha-Centred Approach	Dr. Megha Bhatt	121-128
22	W44	Educating the Inner Self for a Young Nation: Leveraging the Bhagavad Gita and Indian Philosophy to Realize India's Demographic Dividend	Dr. Shweta Zala	129-135
23	W45 & P26	Physical Activity and Sports as Pedagogical Tools in School Education: A Critical Analysis of NEP 2020	Dr. Mukeshkumar B. Baraiya Dr. Yogendra J. Chauhan	136-141
24	W48	Comparative Evaluation of Traditional and Modern Teaching Approaches in Secondary Schools of Ahmedabad City	Dr. Navita Sharma	142-147
25	W49	Portuguese Colonial Legacy and Its Impact on Tourism Development in Diu	Mr. Hardik R. Rathod	148-152
26	W52	English as a Bridge to Higher Education: Women's Academic Inclusion in Post-NEP 2020 India — A Qualitative Secondary-Data Review	Dr. Payal Trivedi	153-156
27	W56& W57	Inclusivity under NEP 2020: A Critical Study of Adivasi (Bhil) Representation in Higher Education	Ms. Saba Syed Dr. Sagar Vyas	157-160
28	W58& W59 P71	Comparative Risk–Return Evaluation of Selected Investment Instruments in the Indian Financial Market	Dr. Khagesh Joshi Ms. Lekshmi Ezhava Dr. Vaibhav Gallani	161-169
29	W60 & 61	Performance Evaluation of Selected Public Sector Banks in India.	Ms. Heenaben Parmar Dr. Ronak Rana	170-177
30	W23	Technology-Driven Higher Education: Insights from Students and Educators	Dr. Janki Mahesh Kotecha	178-181
31	W33	Need And Issues of Digital Education	Mr. Hasmukh Ramanlal Panchal	182-188

W45: Physical Activity and Sports as Pedagogical Tools in School Education: A Critical Analysis of NEP 2020

Dr. Mukeshkumar B. Baraiya

SLU Arts and H & P Thakore Commerce
College for Women, Ahmedabad.

Dr. Yogendra J. Chauhan,

N.C. Bodiwala Commerce College,
Ahmedabad.

Abstract: The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 introduces a transformative vision by elevating physical activity and sports from peripheral extracurricular activities to core pedagogical tools within school education. This critical analysis examines how NEP 2020 promotes sports-integrated learning as a cross-curricular approach that harnesses movement to enhance cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains among school-going children. Drawing on the policy document, the National Curriculum Framework for School Education (NCF-SE) 2023 and supporting literature, this paper explores key provisions, theoretical foundations, and implementation mechanisms across the 5+3+3+4 structure. It evaluates potential benefits for holistic development alongside persistent challenges, such as infrastructure deficits, societal mindsets, and teacher capacity. While NEP 2020 aligns with global evidence on embodied cognition and experiential learning, its success hinges on effective translation into everyday classroom practices. The analysis concludes with actionable recommendations for policymakers, educators, and researchers to realize the policy's goal of joyful, equitable, and lifelong learning through physical activity and sports.

Keywords: NEP 2020, sports-integrated learning, physical activity, pedagogical tools, holistic development, experiential learning, NCF-SE 2023, school education.

Introduction:

For decades, the Indian school education system has largely treated physical activity and sports as optional add-ons, typically confined to brief recess periods or isolated annual athletic events. This systemic compartmentalization has contributed to suboptimal physical fitness levels among children, with a vast majority falling short of the World Health Organization's recommendation of at least 60 minutes of daily moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 fundamentally challenges this outdated paradigm by positioning physical activity and sports as integral pedagogical tools capable of reinforcing academic concepts while fostering holistic student development.

NEP 2020 explicitly advocates sports-integrated learning, defining it as a cross-curricular pedagogical strategy that uses physical activities—including indigenous games—to develop essential life skills such as collaboration, self-discipline, teamwork, and citizenship. Concurrently, this approach aims to enhance cognitive abilities and make classroom transactions more joyful and experiential. By deliberately eliminating rigid separations between curricular, co-curricular, and extracurricular domains, the policy seeks to embed movement-based learning throughout the educational lifecycle.

This paper provides a critical analysis of NEP 2020's provisions regarding physical activity and sports as pedagogical tools. It examines their alignment with contemporary learning theories,

operationalization through the National Curriculum Framework for School Education (NCF-SE) 2023, anticipated developmental benefits, persistent ground-level implementation challenges, and strategic pathways for effective realization.

Theoretical Foundations

NEP 2020's emphasis on sports-integrated learning draws structural strength from well-established educational and psychological frameworks:

- **Experiential Learning Theory (Kolb, 1984):** This theory asserts that learning cycles through concrete experience, reflective observation, Abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Games and sports naturally embody this cycle, allowing students to test strategies, experience outcomes, and reflect on performance in real time.
- **Embodied Cognition (Shapiro, 2019):** Departing from traditional Cartesian divides, embodied cognition positions cognitive processes as fundamentally grounded in sensorimotor experiences. Physical movement stimulates neurological pathways, directly enhancing attention spans, memory retention, and spatial problem-solving capabilities.
- **Triadic Domain Development (Bloom, 1956) and Multiple Intelligences (Gardner, 1983):** Physical activities uniquely engage the psychomotor (physical execution) and affective (emotional and social regulation) domains alongside the cognitive domain, directly addressing bodily-kinesthetic and interpersonal intelligences.

The policy explicitly recognizes these theoretical intersections, stating that:

"Sports-integrated learning in pedagogical practices (and core subjects) can help develop the thinking (cognitive), feeling (affective), and doing (psychomotor) faculties of children effectively" (Government of India [GoI], 2020, p. 12).

This approach systematically transforms passive rote learning into active, joyful engagement. It aligns with global longitudinal data indicating that regular physical activity improves scholastic outcomes, mitigates academic stress, and cultivates critical 21st-century competencies.

Key Provisions in NEP 2020 and NCF-SE 2023

NEP 2020 outlines several landmark provisions aimed at re-engineering the educational landscape:

No Hard Separation of Activities: Physical education, games, sports, and fitness are to be seamlessly incorporated throughout the school curriculum without artificial administrative divisions. Activities must be engineered to be age-appropriate, safe, and entirely inclusive of diverse student profiles, including children with special needs (Divyangjan).

Sports-Integrated Learning: Physical activities serve as active instructional tools within classroom transactions to foster lifelong fitness attitudes, cognitive enhancement, and cooperative life skills. Indigenous games (e.g., Kabaddi, Kho-Kho, Gilli-Danda) receive special structural emphasis due to their cultural relevance, cost-effectiveness, and low infrastructural barriers.

Curricular Restructuring (5+3+3+4): The restructured academic framework provides the flexibility required for shorter, intensive modules and expanded exposure to physical activities across the Foundational (ages 3–8), Preparatory (8–11), Middle (11–14), and Secondary (14–18) stages.

Holistic and Multidisciplinary Approach: Physical education gains equal curricular status with traditional core subjects like mathematics and languages. It is designed to support mental health, discipline, teamwork, and overall well-being, directly complementing national health initiatives like the Fit India Movement.

The NCF-SE 2023 operationalizes these foundational policy goals by establishing dedicated learning standards for Physical Education and Well-being across distinct developmental milestones:

Curricular Stage	Age Group	Core Pedagogical Focus (NCF-SE 2023)
Foundational	Ages 3–8	Development of gross and fine motor skills through guided free play and rhythmic movements.
Preparatory	Ages 8–11	Introduction to local and indigenous games characterized by fluid, adaptable rules to encourage participation.
Middle	Ages 11–14	Structured skill development, introducing formal rules, tactical awareness, and sports ethics.
Secondary	Ages 14–18	Specialization in specific sports disciplines, advanced fitness tracking, and vocational choices.

Mechanisms and Practical Examples of Integration

Physical activity functions as an active pedagogical tool through several clear, cross-curricular application mechanisms:

- **Cognitive Reinforcement in Mathematics:** Utilizing relay races where students must solve sequencing puzzles or arithmetic equations at the turnaround point. Strategy maps in indigenous sports like Kabaddi or Kho-Kho can be utilized to teach logical reasoning, geometric spacing, and angular trajectories.
- **Language and Communication:** Incorporating role-play within team sports or structured yoga sequences to build specialized vocabulary, expressive communication, and narrative skills among early learners.
- **Science and Health Integration:** Teaching basic physics concepts such as force, velocity, and biomechanics through running, jumping, or throwing events. Body awareness modules in yoga serve as practical applications for human anatomy and health education.
- **Affective and Social Development:** Deploying cooperative, low-stakes games specifically designed to cultivate empathy, socio-emotional resilience, democratic leadership, and peer conflict regulation.

This structural integration makes Abstract concepts concrete and experiential, benefiting learners in the early stages while establishing pathways for deeper specialization later on.

Potential Benefits for School-Going Children

Empirical literature and policy observations highlight multifaceted developmental gains:

- **Academic Enhancement:** Increased cerebral blood flow associated with physical movement improves concentration, working memory, and scholastic outcomes, while effectively reducing classroom fatigue.
- **Physical and Mental Health:** Enhanced cardiovascular fitness, a reduction in pediatric obesity risks, lower generalized anxiety levels, and improved psychological well-being.
- **Holistic Skill Development:** Organic cultivation of lateral thinking, collective problem-solving, self-discipline, and enduring fitness habits.
- **Equity and Inclusion:** Low-equipment indigenous games democratize participation across gender, rural-urban, and socio-economic divides, ensuring marginalized demographics are not excluded due to financial constraints.
- **Broader Societal Impact:** Cultivating an enduring national sports culture that integrates directly with early-stage athletic talent identification initiatives, such as the Khelo India framework.

Critical Challenges in Implementation

Despite its visionary framework, a critical analysis reveals several deep-seated structural and practical challenges that risk diluting the policy's transformative potential:

1. Infrastructure and Resource Gaps

A profound disparity exists between elite private institutions and under-resourced government or rural schools. Thousands of schools across India lack basic playgrounds, basic athletic equipment, and safe, private changing facilities. This infrastructure deficit severely restricts the uniform execution of the NCF-SE guidelines.

2. Teacher Preparedness and Capacity Crunch

There is an acute shortage of qualified physical education teachers across the country. Furthermore, the existing cohort is largely trained in traditional drills rather than modern, cross-curricular, sports-integrated pedagogy. Regular classroom subject teachers also lack the training required to execute movement-based lessons safely and effectively.

3. Societal and Parental Mindset

A deeply entrenched cultural bias prioritizing rote academics and high-stakes written examinations often sidelines physical engagement. Parents and school administrators frequently view time spent on the playground as time subtracted from competitive academic preparation.

4. Gender and Inclusion Barriers

Adolescent girls in secondary schools continue to experience disproportionately lower sports participation rates due to systemic cultural hurdles, a lack of female physical education staff, and inadequate sanitation or privacy infrastructure within school premises.

5. Funding Realities and Monitoring Weaknesses

Achieving the recommended 6% of GDP allocation for education remains an elusive milestone for policymakers. Without targeted, ring-fenced budgets for sports infrastructure and teacher training, the policy's mandates risk remaining theoretical. Additionally, standardized formative assessment rubrics for tracking the quality of movement-based learning are still largely absent.

Recommendations

To successfully bridge the gap between policy intent and ground-level execution, the following coordinated interventions are recommended:

1. **Develop Ready-to-Use Open Resources:** The National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT) alongside State Councils (SCERTs) must co-develop granular, stage-wise lesson plans that map specific subject learning outcomes directly to physical activities and indigenous games.
2. **Overhaul Teacher Training Pipelines:** Integrate sports-integrated pedagogy as a mandatory module within pre-service teacher programs, such as the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), and scale up ongoing in-service professional development in collaboration with sports universities.
3. **Prioritize Decentralized Infrastructure Spending:** Allocate targeted infrastructure funding under the Samagra Shiksha scheme to develop low-cost, multi-purpose play spaces. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) funding should be funneled toward upgrading rural school sports facilities.
4. **Launch Longitudinal Empirical Research:** Commission large-scale pilot implementations in diverse geographic and socio-economic clusters (rural, urban, and tribal) to measure and document longitudinal impacts on cognitive retention, physical fitness, and socio-emotional indices.
5. **Formative Assessment Reform:** Establish clear, non-punitive, and inclusive formative evaluation rubrics that reward effort, behavioral collaboration, personal progression, and physical literacy over raw athletic excellence.
6. **Inter-Ministerial Convergence:** Ensure institutional convergence between the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, and the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare to unify the delivery of the Fit India, Khelo India, and Ayushman Bharat programs within the school ecosystem.

Conclusion

NEP 2020 represents a bold, progressive milestone in re-engineering Indian school education by establishing physical activity and sports as fundamental pedagogical tools. By embedding movement directly into core instructional processes, the policy offers a viable path toward creating joyful, equitable, and highly effective educational environments.

However, translating this vision into a universal classroom reality requires sustained capital investment, systematic institutional capacity building, and a foundational shift in societal mindsets. If implemented with structural rigor and unwavering long-term commitment, sports-integrated learning under NEP 2020 has the definitive potential to elevate India's educational paradigm and cultivate a healthier, more resilient, and holistically proficient citizenry for the 21st century.

References

- ✓ Bloom, B. S. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals*. Longmans, Green.

- ✓ Dahiya, V. (2023). Sports integrated learning in new education policy: A review. *International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health*, 10(2), 542–544.
- ✓ EduSports & Sportz Village Foundation. (2020). *National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 – Using physical education and sports as pedagogical tools*. Sportz Village.
- ✓ Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Basic Books.
- ✓ Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
- ✓ Government of India. (2023). *National Curriculum Framework for School Education 2023*. Department of School Education and Literacy.
- ✓ Katara, M. N. (2025). NEP 2020 in terms of physical education and sports science: A comprehensive analysis. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Humanities and Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRHSS252211>
- ✓ Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice-Hall.
- ✓ Shapiro, L. (2019). *Embodied cognition* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- ✓ Sheeba, S. B. (2023). NEP 2020: An overview on policy and provisions for physical education and sports. *Scholarly Research Journal for Humanity Science & English Language*.

About SLU College

Shree Lalshankar Umiyashankar Arts and Harivadan & Padmaben Thakore Commerce College for Women, which was established in 1920 as an endeavour of Gujarat Stree Kelvani Mandal, has the proud privilege of being the first women's college in Ahmedabad. The college is recognised under Section 2 (f) and 12 (b) of UGC Act 17th June, 1972. The college runs various programmes in affiliation with Gujarat University such as - B.A., B.Com., and M.Com. The BS-BBA programme was added from the academic year 2025-2026. The college also runs several add-on programmes like Fashion Design and Computer Courses at the ICTC centre located at the SLU Campus.

Editorial Advisory Board

Dr. Chandrika Raval (Secretary, GSKM)
Dr. Himmat Bhalodiya (Principal, SLU College)
Dr. Sirali Mehta
Dr. Preeti Oza

Editors – in Chief

Dr. Madhusudan Mukerjee
Dr. Kandarp Chavda

Editorial Team

Dr. Rashmi Soni	Dr. Shailaja Dhruva
Dr. Shital Rathod	Dr. Mukeshkumar Baraiya

College Website

www.slucollege.org

Aarsi Email Id

sluaarsi@gmail.com