

AARSI

A Peer Reviewed Multidisciplinary
Annual Journal



Published by
**SLU Arts and H. & P. Thakore
Commerce College for Women**
An Endeavour of
Gujarat Stree Kelavani Mandal



Theme

**National Education Policy 2020 –
Achievements, Challenges & Future Directions**

**Vol. 4
Issue. 4
Year
2026**



ISSN – 2231 : 2897



GSKM - SLU Campus, Ahmedabad.

AARSI - A peer reviewed multidisciplinary annual journal

Issued Date: 15 th June, 2026	Vol. 04	Issue. 04	ISSN: 2331-2897
--	---------	-----------	-----------------

INDEX

Sr. No.	Paper No.	Paper Title	Authors Name	Page No.
1	W01	AI in Teaching, Learning and Research	Dr. Alpesh R. Prajapati	01-05
2	W02 & W03	From Policy to Practice: A Multidimensional Analysis of Implementation Challenges in India's National Education Policy 2020	Dr. Deepa C. Chandwani Dr. Pragnesh B. Dalwadi	06-11
3	W04	Role of NEP-2020 in Transforming Higher Education in India	Dr. Hardik Mehta	12-16
4	W06	NEP 2020: A Transformative Stage Toward Equity and Inclusion in Education	Dr. Manish M. Chudasama	17-22
5	W07 & W16	NEP 2020 and Its Implementation: Examining Challenges in the Indian Education Sector	Dr. Gargi P Patel Dr. Nirali Ketan Shah	23-28
6	W09	National Education Policy to Transform the Education System of 21st Century: Prospects and its Implementation	Dr. Shilpa Jayantilal Patel	29-34
7	W11	Assessing NEP-2020 Implementation in Higher Education: A Comparative Study of Faculty and Student Perspectives	Dr. Vrundani K. Patel	35-43
8	W14	Reimagining Education: A Sociological Analysis of NEP 2020's Challenges and Future Outcomes	Mr. Jitendra Dhebariya	44-50
9	W20	Bridging The Digital Divide in Higher Education: Opportunities and Challenges of Technology Integration under National Education Policy 2020	Dr. Avani Lalitkumar Saraiya	51-58
10	W24	Digital Education under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020: Challenges and Opportunities for Indian Higher Education	Dr. Jigarkumar Joshi	59-64
11	W25& W26	Digital Education and Technology Integration under NEP 2020	Dr. Ketan J Mehta Dr. Ravjibhai Kotval	65-69
12	W27	Teacher Capacity Building: Evaluating the Effectiveness of AI-Powered NotebookLM in Classroom Pedagogy	Dr. Krishna M. Lakhani	70-74
13	W28	Digital Education and Technology Integration in Higher Education: Students' Perspectives in the Context of NEP 2020	Dr. Rohan Shah	75-81
14	W29	Digital India and Educational Transformation: A Comprehensive Statistical Analysis using Multiple Regression Approach	Dr. Sanjay G Raval	82-87
15	W30& W31	Transforming India's Workforce: A Critical Study of Skill Development Initiatives under the NEP 2020	Dr. Vishal Gupta Dr. Ghanshyambhai M. Anjara	88-94

16	W32	Implementation of National Education Policy 2020 in Higher Education: Opportunities and Constraints	Mr. Bhaveshkumar R. Rathod	95-100
17	W35& P72	Digital Accounting Practices in MSMEs: An Empirical Study of Awareness and Usage Behaviour	Ms. Shivangi N. Patel Dr. Amina Nakhuda	101-104
18	W37	Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) in Higher Education: Revitalizing Cultural Roots and Enhancing Learning Outcomes	Dr. Ajitha Shambhu Nair	105-111
19	W40	Indian Knowledge System in New Education Policy	Dr. Rupalben Ashokkumar	112-115
20	W41& W42	Towards Holistic Learning: The Vision of NEP 2020 (An Empirical Analytical Study Based on Primary Data)	Dr. Shital Rathod Dr. Kandarp Chavda	116-120
21	W43	Integrating Ayurveda into Leadership: A Dosha-Centred Approach	Dr. Megha Bhatt	121-128
22	W44	Educating the Inner Self for a Young Nation: Leveraging the Bhagavad Gita and Indian Philosophy to Realize India's Demographic Dividend	Dr. Shweta Zala	129-135
23	W45 & P26	Physical Activity and Sports as Pedagogical Tools in School Education: A Critical Analysis of NEP 2020	Dr. Mukeshkumar B. Baraiya Dr. Yogendra J. Chauhan	136-141
24	W48	Comparative Evaluation of Traditional and Modern Teaching Approaches in Secondary Schools of Ahmedabad City	Dr. Navita Sharma	142-147
25	W49	Portuguese Colonial Legacy and Its Impact on Tourism Development in Diu	Mr. Hardik R. Rathod	148-152
26	W52	English as a Bridge to Higher Education: Women's Academic Inclusion in Post-NEP 2020 India — A Qualitative Secondary-Data Review	Dr. Payal Trivedi	153-156
27	W56& W57	Inclusivity under NEP 2020: A Critical Study of Adivasi (Bhil) Representation in Higher Education	Ms. Saba Syed Dr. Sagar Vyas	157-160
28	W58& W59 P71	Comparative Risk–Return Evaluation of Selected Investment Instruments in the Indian Financial Market	Dr. Khagesh Joshi Ms. Lekshmi Ezhava Dr. Vaibhav Gallani	161-169
29	W60 & 61	Performance Evaluation of Selected Public Sector Banks in India.	Ms. Heenaben Parmar Dr. Ronak Rana	170-177
30	W23	Technology-Driven Higher Education: Insights from Students and Educators	Dr. Janki Mahesh Kotecha	178-181
31	W33	Need And Issues of Digital Education	Mr. Hasmukh Ramanlal Panchal	182-188

W44: Educating the Inner Self for a Young Nation: Leveraging the Bhagavad Gita and Indian Philosophy to Realize India’s Demographic Dividend

Dr. Shweta Zala

SLU Arts and H & P Thakore Commerce College for Women, Ahmedabad

Abstract: India’s demographic profile presents a historically significant opportunity. With one of the world’s largest youth populations, the country possesses the potential to accelerate economic growth, social transformation, and institutional renewal. Yet demographic advantage is not self-realizing. Prevailing discourse frequently conceptualizes the demographic dividend in economic terms, emphasizing labour supply, productivity, and employability while neglecting ethical, psychological, and philosophical dimensions of human development. This paper argues that the realization of India’s demographic dividend requires an educational framework that extends beyond technical competence toward the cultivation of reflective, ethically grounded and self-aware individuals. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is interpreted as an epistemic reorientation that opens space for holistic education and Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS). Drawing upon the Bhagavad Gita, the paper argues that concepts such as *dharma*, *karma yoga*, *samatva*, and *lokasaṅgraha* offer philosophical resources for navigating uncertainty, ethical ambiguity, and psychological strain in contemporary life. Rather than viewing development exclusively through material metrics, the paper advances a conception of “civilizational modernity” in which economic capability is integrated with ethical orientation. Without philosophical grounding, demographic potential risks inversion into social fragmentation and instability. Conversely, education rooted in ethical reflection and self-understanding can transform demographic opportunity into sustainable and inclusive development.

Keywords: demographic dividend, Bhagavad Gita, Indian Knowledge Systems, NEP 2020, ethics, youth, modern education

Introduction: India’s Demographic Moment

India stands at a distinctive demographic juncture. A large share of its population falls within the working-age category, creating possibilities for accelerated economic growth, innovation, and social transformation (Bloom et al., 2003; World Bank, 2007). Economists describe this demographic transition as a “window of opportunity,” wherein declining dependency ratios increase productive capacity and savings potential (World Bank, 2007). However, demographic advantage is contingent rather than automatic. Historical experience demonstrates that youthful populations contribute to development only when supported by institutions that provide education, employment, health, and social stability (Drèze & Sen, 2013).

Prevailing discourse on demographic dividend nevertheless remains narrow. Youth are frequently imagined as economic resources whose primary significance lies in employability and productivity. Such a framework reduces human beings to labour inputs and obscures broader ethical and existential dimensions of development. Development, however, depends not merely on the

production of skilled workers but on the cultivation of individuals capable of judgment, responsibility, and social participation (Sen, 1999).

The challenge before India is therefore not only economic but philosophical. A youthful population amplifies both strengths and vulnerabilities. It expands possibilities for innovation and aspiration while intensifying anxiety, competition, and social fragmentation. Rapid technological transformation, precarious labour markets, and increasing pressures of performance require individuals capable of navigating uncertainty and moral ambiguity (Bauman, 2000; Beck, 1992). Technical competence alone cannot address these challenges.

This paper argues that the realization of India's demographic dividend requires rethinking education beyond skill acquisition toward ethical and reflective self-formation. Interpreting the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 as an epistemic reorientation, it examines how Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS), particularly the Bhagavad Gita, provide philosophical frameworks for cultivating resilient and ethically grounded citizens. Rather than treating indigenous traditions as symbolic heritage, the paper positions them as living intellectual resources capable of informing development in contemporary India.

The Fragility of the Demographic Dividend

Demographic dividend presupposes institutions capable of productively engaging youth populations. Without employment opportunities, quality education, and social mobility, demographic potential may generate instability rather than growth (World Bank, 2007). Labour markets marked by informality and underemployment often fail to absorb skilled youth, creating frustration and insecurity (International Labour Organization [ILO], 2018). Simultaneously, educational expansion without corresponding opportunities generates the paradox of educated unemployment: individuals possess credentials but remain excluded from meaningful economic participation (Drèze & Sen, 2013).

Yet the fragility of demographic transition extends beyond labour economics. Contemporary education increasingly privileges specialization, measurable outcomes, and market-oriented skills while marginalizing ethical inquiry and reflective judgment. Students are trained to perform tasks but not necessarily to situate those tasks within broader frameworks of meaning and responsibility (Nussbaum, 2010). Such conditions produce what may be described as existential dislocation: individuals possess competence but lack orientation regarding why and toward what ends they should act (Taylor, 1989).

At a deeper level, instrumental rationality dominates institutional life. Success is measured through efficiency, accumulation, and competition, often detached from ethical reflection (MacIntyre, 1981). Actions become evaluated primarily through outcomes rather than intentions or moral implications. Such systems encourage optimization rather than deliberation and achievement rather than responsibility. The result is a moral vacuum in which capacities for action expand while capacities for judgment weaken (MacIntyre, 1981).

The implications for India's demographic moment are significant. A youthful population without ethical orientation risks becoming vulnerable to alienation, burnout, mistrust, and social fragmentation. Demographic dividend must therefore be understood not merely as quantitative labour supply but as a qualitative challenge of cultivating reflective and responsible human agency.

NEP 2020: Education as Epistemic Reorientation

The National Education Policy 2020 marks an important shift in India's educational imagination. Rather than confining education to credentialing and employability, it emphasizes holistic learning, ethical reasoning, interdisciplinarity, and Indian traditions of knowledge (Government of India, 2020). In this sense, NEP 2020 may be interpreted as an epistemic reorientation: a rethinking of what counts as education and what kind of human being education ought to cultivate.

Modern education in India has largely evolved within colonial and post-Enlightenment paradigms that compartmentalize knowledge and privilege technical rationality (Nandy, 1983). Information becomes separated from wisdom, and cognition from character. Learners are prepared for occupational participation but often receive limited opportunities for ethical or existential reflection.

NEP 2020 challenges this fragmentation through its emphasis on holistic development, critical thinking, ethics, and experiential learning (Government of India, 2020). Its recognition of Indian Knowledge Systems is particularly significant. However, their relevance lies not merely in cultural preservation but in their ability to illuminate contemporary dilemmas concerning selfhood, responsibility, and collective life.

Educational transformation requires moving beyond information transfer toward formation of judgment. In this sense, education becomes not only instruction but cultivation: a process through which individuals learn to interpret complexity, regulate aspiration, and orient themselves responsibly toward society (Biesta, 2015). Such a framework is especially relevant for a youthful nation confronting uncertainty and rapid socio-economic change.

Indian Knowledge Systems and the Bhagavad Gita

Indian Knowledge Systems encompass diverse philosophical traditions concerned with ethics, knowledge, selfhood, and human flourishing. Rather than constituting a singular doctrine, they represent plural traditions of inquiry—including Vedānta, Yoga, Nyāya, Buddhist, and Jain thought—united by sustained reflection on the conditions of knowing and acting (Hiriyanna, 2009; Radhakrishnan, 1923). A defining characteristic of these traditions is the understanding that knowledge is transformative: philosophy is not Abstract speculation but guidance for living. Ethical reasoning within Indian philosophy is similarly contextual and interpretive. The concept of *dharma* does not operate as rigid moral prescription but as discernment regarding right action within particular circumstances. Ethical life therefore demands judgment, sensitivity, and responsibility rather than mechanical adherence to rules.

Within this broader intellectual milieu, the Bhagavad Gita occupies a distinctive place because it synthesizes metaphysical reflection, ethical deliberation, and psychological insight into a philosophy of action (Aurobindo, 1997; Radhakrishnan, 1948). The Gita unfolds in the midst of crisis: Arjuna confronts moral paralysis on the battlefield of Kurukshetra, unable to reconcile competing obligations. His dilemma reflects not ignorance but disorientation.

Unlike prescriptive moral systems, the Gita addresses how individuals ought to act amid uncertainty. Krishna's response is pedagogical rather than authoritarian, guiding Arjuna toward reflective understanding of selfhood, action, and responsibility. Central to this teaching is *karma yoga*, the principle of disciplined action detached from excessive attachment to outcomes. The famous injunction—perform action without attachment to fruits—does not discourage ambition but redefines success in terms of ethical commitment and disciplined effort (Bhagavad Gita, 2.47; Tilak, 1915).

Contemporary Relevance: Philosophical Foundations for India's Youth

The philosophical relevance of the Bhagavad Gita becomes especially significant under conditions of contemporary uncertainty. Globalization, technological disruption, precarious employment, and hyper-competitive educational environments increasingly expose young people to anxiety, instability, and fragmented aspirations (Bauman, 2000; Beck, 1992). These are not merely technical problems solvable through skill enhancement; they involve questions of judgment, resilience, and ethical orientation.

The Gita addresses precisely such conditions through its account of action under uncertainty. Arjuna's crisis mirrors a modern predicament: the inability to act confidently despite possessing knowledge. Contemporary youth frequently encounter competing expectations concerning success, identity, social responsibility, and personal fulfilment. In such contexts, the challenge lies not simply in acquiring competence but in developing clarity regarding how to act meaningfully. The principle of *karma yoga* offers an important response. By distinguishing effort from attachment to outcomes, the Gita mitigates the psychological burden associated with excessive comparison and performance anxiety (Bhagavad Gita, 2.47)

Hyper-competitive educational and labour systems often equate personal worth with achievement, producing cycles of stress and dissatisfaction. The Gita instead frames action as ethically meaningful independent of guaranteed success. This perspective stabilizes aspiration without diminishing commitment. Individuals remain engaged and ambitious but are less vulnerable to despair when outcomes remain uncertain (Aurobindo, 1997; Tilak, 1915).

Equally important is the concept of *samatva* (equanimity), described in the Gita as mental steadiness amidst success and failure (Bhagavad Gita, 2.48). Contemporary psychological research increasingly recognizes emotional regulation and resilience as critical to well-being and performance (Goleman, 1995; World Health Organization [WHO], 2022). Yet, resilience frameworks frequently

remain instrumental, emphasizing coping without deeper ethical orientation. The Gita's conception of equanimity offers a broader account: emotional steadiness grounded in disciplined self-understanding and moral clarity.

This insight is embodied in the ideal of the *sthitaprajña*—one whose wisdom remains stable despite external fluctuations (Bhagavad Gita, Chapter 2). Importantly, emotional regulation here does not imply suppression or indifference. Rather, it reflects the cultivation of disciplined awareness capable of preventing emotional volatility from overwhelming judgment. In environments characterized by constant evaluation, competition, and uncertainty, such inner steadiness becomes an important developmental resource.

The Bhagavad Gita also reframes the relationship between individual aspiration and collective well-being. Contemporary developmental discourse often privileges competitive individualism, where success is measured through personal accumulation and advancement. Indian philosophical traditions, however, introduce a relational understanding of human flourishing. The concept of *lokasaṅgraha*—the maintenance and welfare of the social order—positions action within broader responsibilities toward collective life (Bhagavad Gita, 3.20–3.25).

This shift carries significant implications for demographic transition. A large youthful population can become socially transformative only when aspirations are aligned with civic responsibility and social trust. Education guided exclusively by competition risks producing atomized individuals oriented toward personal gain rather than cooperative participation. By contrast, an educational framework grounded in ethical responsibility encourages contribution, inclusion, and long-term social cohesion (Sen, 1999).

Indian Knowledge Systems further challenge contemporary assumptions about learning itself. Modern education frequently treats knowledge as information accumulation or technical specialization. Indian traditions distinguish between knowledge as information (*jñāna*) and knowledge as realization or lived understanding (*viśiṣṭa*) (Radhakrishnan, 1923). True education, therefore, involves transformation of perception and conduct, not merely acquisition of facts.

This distinction matters profoundly for India's demographic future. A large population of technically trained but ethically disoriented individuals may contribute to economic growth in the short term while simultaneously intensifying social instability, distrust, and psychological distress. Sustainable development requires citizens capable not only of innovation and productivity but also of reflective judgment, ethical reasoning, and emotional resilience.

The relevance of Indian philosophy to India's demographic moment, thus, lies not in nostalgic appeals to tradition but in its capacity to provide orientation amid uncertainty. The Bhagavad Gita does not offer policy prescriptions for labour markets or educational systems. Instead, it equips individuals with frameworks for meaningful action, responsibility, and inner steadiness. Such

capacities are essential in societies where complexity and ambiguity increasingly define social and professional life.

Conclusion: From Demographic Opportunity to Civilizational Renewal

India's demographic dividend represents not merely an economic opportunity but a civilizational test. A youthful population guarantees neither prosperity nor stability; rather, it magnifies existing institutional, ethical and educational frameworks (Bloom et al., 2003; Drèze & Sen, 2013). Development therefore depends not simply on expanding labour supply or technical training but on cultivating individuals capable of responsible and reflective action. This paper has argued that dominant understandings of demographic dividend remain constrained by economistic assumptions that reduce human beings to productive agents. Such approaches neglect deeper conditions of sustainable development: ethical discernment, emotional resilience, and meaningful orientation toward action (Sen, 1999). The challenge before India is consequently philosophical as much as economic.

The National Education Policy 2020 signals an important shift through its emphasis on holistic development, interdisciplinarity, ethics, and Indian Knowledge Systems (Government of India, 2020). Yet, its transformative potential depends on whether these traditions are engaged substantively rather than symbolically. Indian philosophy should not be treated as cultural ornamentation but as an intellectual resource capable of informing responses to contemporary dilemmas. Within this context, the Bhagavad Gita emerges as particularly significant because it addresses the perennial tension between aspiration and uncertainty, action and hesitation, autonomy and responsibility. Through the concepts, such as *karma yoga*, *samatva*, and *lokasaṅgraha*, it offers a framework for disciplined action, emotional balance, and ethical commitment (Bhagavad Gita, 2.47–2.48; 3.20–3.25). Such ideas do not encourage withdrawal from worldly pursuits; rather, they cultivate forms of engagement that are resilient, socially responsible, and ethically grounded.

For a youthful nation, this insight carries profound implications. Education cannot remain confined to producing employable individuals alone. It must also cultivate integrated selves capable of aligning cognition, emotion, and action within meaningful ethical frameworks. Without such orientation, demographic potential risks inversion into anxiety, fragmentation, and instability. Conversely, when economic capability is integrated with ethical self-understanding, demographic opportunity may become the foundation for inclusive and enduring development.

India's demographic moment therefore calls for more than institutional reform or economic planning. It requires a deeper inquiry into what it means to educate human beings in ways that enable both individual flourishing and collective well-being. In this sense, the realization of India's demographic dividend is ultimately inseparable from the cultivation of ethical and reflective citizenship.

References

- ✓ Aurobindo. (1997). *Essays on the Gita*. Sri Aurobindo Ashram Publication Department.
- ✓ Bauman, Z. (2000). *Liquid modernity*. Polity Press.
- ✓ Beck, U. (1992). *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity*. Sage Publications.
- ✓ Bhagavad Gita. (2014). Gita Press.
- ✓ Biesta, G. (2015). *Good education in an age of measurement*. Routledge.
- ✓ Bloom, D. E., Canning, D., & Sevilla, J. (2003). *The demographic dividend*. Rand Corporation.
- ✓ Drèze, J., & Sen, A. (2013). *An uncertain glory: India and its contradictions*. Princeton University Press.
- ✓ Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam.
- ✓ Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *New education policy 2020*.
- ✓ Hiriyan, M. (2009). *Outlines of Indian philosophy*. Motilal Banarsidass.
- ✓ International Labour Organization. (2018). *Women and men in the informal economy: A statistical picture* (3rd ed.).
- ✓ MacIntyre, A. (1981). *After virtue*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- ✓ Nandy, A. (1983). *The intimate enemy: Loss and recovery of self under colonialism*. Oxford University Press.
- ✓ Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). *Not for profit: Why democracy needs the humanities*. Princeton University Press.
- ✓ Radhakrishnan, S. (1923). *Indian philosophy: Volume I & II*. Oxford University Press.
- ✓ Radhakrishnan, S. (1948). *The Bhagavadgita*. Harper Collins.
- ✓ Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- ✓ Taylor, C. (1989). *Sources of the self: The making of the modern identity*. Harvard University Press.
- ✓ Tilak, B. G. (1933). *Sri Bhagavadgita Rahasya*. Kesari Press.
- ✓ World Bank. (2007). *World development report 2007: Development and the next generation*.
- ✓ World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*.

About SLU College

Shree Lalshankar Umiyashankar Arts and Harivadan & Padmaben Thakore Commerce College for Women, which was established in 1920 as an endeavour of Gujarat Stree Kelvani Mandal, has the proud privilege of being the first women's college in Ahmedabad. The college is recognised under Section 2 (f) and 12 (b) of UGC Act 17th June, 1972. The college runs various programmes in affiliation with Gujarat University such as - B.A., B.Com., and M.Com. The BS-BBA programme was added from the academic year 2025-2026. The college also runs several add-on programmes like Fashion Design and Computer Courses at the ICTC centre located at the SLU Campus.

Editorial Advisory Board

Dr. Chandrika Raval (Secretary, GSKM)
Dr. Himmat Bhalodiya (Principal, SLU College)
Dr. Sirali Mehta
Dr. Preeti Oza

Editors – in Chief

Dr. Madhusudan Mukerjee
Dr. Kandarp Chavda

Editorial Team

Dr. Rashmi Soni	Dr. Shailaja Dhruva
Dr. Shital Rathod	Dr. Mukeshkumar Baraiya

College Website

www.slucollege.org

Aarsi Email Id

sluaarsi@gmail.com